

H-Wave Therapy

H-Wave Device Stimulation (HWDS) is a form of electrical stimulation that uses a unique **biphasic, exponentially decaying waveform** (pulse width 4 ms, 25–35 V) — distinct from conventional TENS — with the strongest clinical evidence supporting benefits in **chronic pain reduction, functional improvement, medication/opioid reduction, diabetic peripheral neuropathy, and post-surgical recovery of range of motion**. A meta-analysis of over 6,500 patients found moderate-to-strong effect sizes across all outcomes, with the **most robust effect for functional improvement** (effect size 0.70).

Mechanism of Action — How H-Wave Differs from TENS

H-Wave operates through a fundamentally different mechanism than conventional TENS. While TENS uses high-frequency, low-intensity rectangular pulses to activate large-diameter A β nerve fibers and "close the gate" on pain transmission via the gate control theory, H-Wave uses a **low-frequency (1–60 Hz), exponentially decaying waveform** that selectively stimulates small muscle fibers (type I, slow-twitch) and smooth muscle in blood vessel walls. This produces two distinct physiological effects depending on frequency:

- **Low frequency (1–2 Hz):** Induces **nitric oxide (NO)-mediated arteriolar vasodilation**, increasing local blood flow by an estimated **26–62%** (based on Poiseuille's Law calculations). This enhanced perfusion promotes tissue healing, reduces edema, and facilitates removal of inflammatory mediators. The vasodilation was completely abolished by NO synthase blockade, confirming the NO-dependent mechanism.
- **High frequency (60 Hz):** Produces a non-fatiguing muscle contraction that provides **acute pain relief** through mechanisms similar to but distinct from TENS — both modalities produce comparable acute hypoalgesia during stimulation, but H-Wave's tissue healing effects via the low-frequency vasodilatory mode are unique.

A comparative review of 13 forms of electrical stimulation concluded that HWDS was associated with the **most clinically significant outcomes** in terms of functional improvement combined with pain and medication reduction, while TENS — the most widely used form — demonstrated "insignificant or very low levels of pain and functional improvement."

Chronic Pain — Meta-Analysis and Large Cohort Data

The most comprehensive evidence comes from a meta-analysis of **5 studies encompassing 6,535 participants** (8,065 outcome measurements):

- **Pain relief:** mean weighted effect size **0.59** (95% CI: 0.580–0.600) — moderate effect
- **Pain medication reduction:** mean weighted effect size **0.56** (95% CI: 0.553–0.567) — moderate effect
- **Functional improvement:** mean weighted effect size **0.70** (95% CI: 0.691–0.709) — moderate-to-strong effect
- The functional improvement effect was **significantly greater** than both pain relief and medication reduction ($p < 0.00001$), suggesting H-Wave facilitates return to work and daily activities beyond simple analgesia

The largest single observational study ($n = 6,774$ patients with chronic soft tissue injury or neuropathic pain) found:

- **78%** reported $\geq 25\%$ pain reduction
- **79%** reported improved functional capacity or activity
- **65%** reported reduced or eliminated need for pain medication

Chronic Shoulder Pain — Most Recent Data

A 2025 retrospective analysis of **1,496 chronic shoulder pain patients** (filtered from a cohort of 34,192) demonstrated:

- Pain reduction of **3.17 points** on a 0–10 scale
- **89.73%** achieved significant ($\geq 20\%$) pain relief
- **96.40%** reported improved function/activities of daily living
- **84.86%** reported improved work performance
- **74.61%** decreased medications (higher than previously reported for low back and neck)
- **59.89%** reported improved sleep
- **>96% patient satisfaction** with zero adverse events
- Rotator cuff disorder outcomes were equivalent to all shoulder conditions
- Longer device use and shorter pain chronicity predicted better outcomes

Workers' Compensation Chronic Pain — Long-Term Follow-Up

A retrospective study of **157 legacy workers' compensation claimants** — a notoriously difficult-to-treat population — with mean pain chronicity of **7.8 years** and mean follow-up of **21.6 months** found:

- Mean pain reduction of **35%**, with **89%** reporting functional improvement
- **48.8%** of opioid users decreased consumption; **41.5% completely stopped opioids**
- **36.8%** decreased polypharmacy; **24.4% stopped all additional medications**
- Improvements in anxiety and depression
- **66.2%** reported an overall positive experience ($p < 0.0001$)
- Zero adverse events
- 40.8% had non-beneficial initial trials and discontinued — suggesting the therapy works well for responders but is not universally effective

Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy — Randomized Controlled Evidence

The strongest RCT evidence for H-Wave comes from diabetic neuropathy studies:

In a sham-controlled RCT ($n = 31$), 4 weeks of daily H-Wave treatment (30 min per extremity) produced **83% symptomatic improvement** (vs. 38% with sham), with pain scores declining from 3.17 to **1.44** ($p < 0.01$) — a **55% reduction**. Three patients became completely asymptomatic. The treatment effect was confirmed when 9 sham-treated patients crossed over to active treatment and showed significant improvement (pain scores 3.0 → 1.56, $p < 0.02$).

A follow-up study combining H-Wave with amitriptyline in 26 patients showed that adding electrotherapy to amitriptyline produced **66% overall pain reduction** (vs. 26% with amitriptyline alone), with **36% of patients becoming completely asymptomatic**. The incremental benefit of H-Wave over sham was statistically significant ($p < 0.03$).

A long-term survey of 54 diabetic patients using H-Wave for an average of **1.7 years** found that **76%** reported a sustained **44% subjective improvement** in neuropathic pain, suggesting durable benefit with continued use.

Post-Surgical Recovery — Rotator Cuff Reconstruction

The only double-blind, placebo-controlled RCT of H-Wave for post-surgical recovery (n = 22) evaluated patients following rotator cuff reconstruction using HWDS for 1 hour twice daily for 90 days:

- **External rotation:** significantly improved at both 45 days (p = 0.007) and 90 days (p = 0.007) vs. placebo
- **Internal rotation:** significantly improved at 45 days (p = 0.007) and 90 days (p = 0.006) vs. placebo
- No significant difference in strength testing between groups
- The primary benefit was **accelerated recovery of range of motion**, consistent with the vasodilatory/tissue healing mechanism

Safety Profile

Across all published studies — including the meta-analysis of 6,535 patients, the 34,192-patient cohort, and all RCTs — there is **little to no evidence of any adverse effects** associated with H-Wave device use. The device is FDA-cleared, self-administered at home, and does not produce the habituation or tolerance commonly seen with TENS.

*****Key Caveats:** While the body of evidence is more substantial than for many electrotherapy devices, several important limitations should be noted. The meta-analysis and largest cohort studies are **observational and survey-based**, relying on patient-reported outcomes without blinding or control groups. The only double-blind RCTs are relatively small (n = 22–31). Many of the published studies involve authors with disclosed relationships to the device manufacturer (Electronic Waveform Lab, Inc.). The comparative review favoring H-Wave over TENS was conducted by authors affiliated with the H-Wave company. The 40.8% non-beneficial trial rate in the workers' compensation study suggests that patient selection is important and the therapy does not work for all chronic pain patients. Larger, independently funded, double-blind RCTs with longer follow-up are needed to confirm these findings.

Key Reported Benefits

- **Pain relief:** A meta-analysis of five studies (n = 6,535) found a moderate effect size of 0.59 for pain reduction across chronic soft tissue and neuropathic pain conditions. In chronic low back pain patients, a mean pain reduction of **3.12 points on a 0–10 scale** was reported, with 85%

achieving $\geq 20\%$ relief. Similar results were seen for chronic shoulder pain (3.17-point reduction, 90% with significant relief).

- **Functional improvement:** The strongest effect in the meta-analysis was for improved functionality (effect size 0.70), suggesting HWDS may facilitate return to work and daily activities. Over **96% of chronic low back pain patients** reported improved function/ADL.
- **Medication reduction:** Across studies, 64–75% of patients reported decreased or eliminated pain medication use. In a workers' compensation cohort with mean 7.8-year pain chronicity, 48.8% of opioid users decreased consumption and 41.5% completely stopped.
- **Additional benefits:** Improved sleep (reported in ~60% of patients), improved work performance (~82–85%), and high patient satisfaction (>96%) have been consistently reported.
- **Safety:** No adverse events were reported across the available studies.

Comparison to Other Electrical Stimulation Modalities

A comparative review of 13 forms of electrical stimulation found that HWDS was associated with the **most clinically significant combined outcomes** in terms of functional improvement, pain reduction, and medication use reduction across diverse populations. Notably, TENS — the most widely used form of electrical stimulation — demonstrated insignificant or very low levels of improvement by comparison.

Factors Associated with Better Outcomes

Longer duration of device use, shorter pain chronicity, home exercise participation, and active employment status were positively associated with treatment response.

References

Allen, C. B., Williamson, T. K., Norwood, S. M., & Gupta, A. (2023). Do Electrical Stimulation Devices Reduce Pain and Improve Function?-A Comparative Review. *Pain and therapy*, 12(6), 1339–1354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40122-023-00554-6>

Blum, K., Chen, A. L., Chen, T. J., Prihoda, T. J., Schoolfield, J., DiNubile, N., Waite, R. L., Arcuri, V., Kerner, M., Braverman, E. R., Rhoades, P., & Tung, H. (2008). The H-Wave device is an effective and safe non-pharmacological analgesic for chronic pain: a

meta-analysis. *Advances in therapy*, 25(7), 644–657.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-008-0073-3>

Blum, K., Chen, A. L., Chen, T. J., Waite, R. L., Downs, B. W., Braverman, E. R., Kerner, M. M., Savarimuthu, S. M., & DiNubile, N. (2009). Repetitive H-wave device stimulation and program induces significant increases in the range of motion of post operative rotator cuff reconstruction in a double-blinded randomized placebo controlled human study. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*, 10, 132.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-10-132>

Julka, I. S., Alvaro, M., & Kumar, D. (1998). Beneficial effects of electrical stimulation on neuropathic symptoms in diabetes patients. *The Journal of foot and ankle surgery : official publication of the American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons*, 37(3), 191–194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1067-2516\(98\)80109-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1067-2516(98)80109-9)

Kumar, D., & Marshall, H. J. (1997). Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: amelioration of pain with transcutaneous electrostimulation. *Diabetes care*, 20(11), 1702–1705.

<https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.20.11.1702>

McDowell, B. C., McCormack, K., Walsh, D. M., Baxter, D. G., & Allen, J. M. (1999). Comparative analgesic effects of H-wave therapy and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain threshold in humans. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, 80(9), 1001–1004. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-9993\(99\)90051-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-9993(99)90051-5)

Norwood, S. M., Han, D., & Gupta, A. (2024). H-Wave[®] Device Stimulation for Chronic Low Back Pain: A Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) Study. *Pain and therapy*, 13(1), 113–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40122-023-00570-6>

Norwood, S. M., Han, D., & Gupta, A. (2025). H-Wave device stimulation benefits chronic shoulder pain in an observational cohort study of patient-reported outcome measures. *Scientific reports*, 15(1), 25130.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-09440-x>

Smith, T. L., Blum, K., Callahan, M. F., DiNubile, N. A., Chen, T. J., & Waite, R. L. (2009). H-Wave induces arteriolar vasodilation in rat striated muscle via nitric oxide-mediated mechanisms. *Journal of orthopaedic research : official publication of the Orthopaedic Research Society*, 27(9), 1248–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.20851>

Smith, T. J., Wang, E. J., & Loprinzi, C. L. (2023). *Cutaneous electroanalgesia for relief of chronic and neuropathic pain*. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 389(2), 158–164. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra2110098>

Trinh, A., Williamson, T. K., Han, D., Hazlewood, J. E., Norwood, S. M., & Gupta, A. (2023). Clinical and Quality of Life Benefits for End-Stage Workers' Compensation Chronic Pain Claimants following H-Wave[®] Device Stimulation: A Retrospective Observational Study with Mean 2-Year Follow-Up. *Journal of clinical medicine*, 12(3), 1148. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12031148>